
20220301-Taygeta-There-are-No-3d-5D-Densities-as-they-tell-you



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mLvsIqnQJCY

Swaruu X (Athena): Before we start, remember that some of my concepts are not the same as Taygeta specifically. Remember that I am not Taygetean, connected with them, yes, but different now, with a different mindset and thinking.

I see 3D-5D labels as human-created concepts that reflect the human mind and thinking reductionist. An attempt to turn something very large and unmanageable into bite-sized pieces. Reflection of a mind trapped in dualistic thinking.

I see it as a valid point of observation to understand that there is a physical universe that creates its opposite: "The non-physical universe". Especially while reasoning about these issues from within a current ongoing incarnation.

From the point of view of my and Yázhi's understanding, there is no such physical universe, since everything is astral (for lack of a better term). Where the agreements of perception are those that form a conceptual framework used to place a limit or a series of borders to be able to think in physical and non-physical terms. Therefore, the type of experience an individual will have depends solely on the frame of understanding of it.

Notice that I am using 'individual' and not 'soul' because that is another term full of problems. We could then see the so-called "physical universe" as seen from inside a body. Thought or perceived as composed of something.

But then, according to Yázhi and me, all the concepts that make up a 'soul', an individual being wherever he is, means that he has or holds the concept of 'I', of 'himself', or Ego. This means that it still functions in some degree of duality, which means that it knows that it is something and not something else. And that concept of "I" that the individual holds, we could argue that it would be a body in itself. Then the concept of Ego, of the "I", will be a body.

However convincing the physical may be, especially the physical body, to our understanding it is as real as any other concept of "ego", "I", body, being in the astral or wherever it is, and its solidity is only an illusion based on agreements.

Ok, density labels. This is how we see that:

For us and how we perceive things, both mentally and through instrumentation and also through direct travel and its characteristics, there is only one mass of reality. So immense that it collapses the entire capacity of each being to fully understand what it is. Anything that defines you does not fit your real nature. René Yanadath Pagina 1 van 3 Webpage https://galactic-human-journey.orgfree.com For example, the concept of eternity, of always has been and always will be, because it works outside of the known concepts of time and space.

Having said this disappointing sentence I can add how we see things:

A mass formed by gravity that is a flow in the ether. This causes a soup of potential energy that can be understood as being made up of frequencies that go from very low to very high, losing in both directions towards the unfathomable and the immeasurable.

So where we live is a soup of energy frequencies and what each individual person can perceive as reality is determined by their own frequency.

That is, people, individuals, do not live in a density as such, like 3D or 5D, but they live anywhere that they can perceive as a range of frequencies. So you could argue that each individual has or holds his own density, creates his own density of existence. And people who are perceived as coexisting in a density only share perceptual agreements that would be similar to those of the first individual, but never exactly the same.

The problem with explaining other concepts in a video, for example, is that this can be too complicated for most people to understand. So we've been wrongly using 3D and 5D as examples, but knowing it's wrong and we know it's time to evolve.

There is no 3D as such, no 5D as such. We simply share enough agreements that we can coexist to some degree. So you don't see any density as such, just a mass of infinite energy. And we understand that it is the consciousness and the mind of each individual who will form, create or manifest a density, a "reality" and all its laws and characteristics, but as a kingdom, it cannot exist without the individual, the observer.

You expand your perception and understanding of reality while working on yourself as a process. Only you can know which direction to go. The more you know and understand, the more you will perceive and then that will change your thought frequency, your mind, and your reality, but it is a long process. It would also mean that when someone is no longer compatible with a "place" (set of agreements seen by a collective (collective unconscious)), it is time for that individual to evolve...not return to said world in favor of a more compatible one.

This is all a case of multiple viewpoints. Because to affirm that everything is astral would be from an "expanded" point of view, but from another practical point of view to the Earth, the distinction between Astral and Physical can clearly be made. Both views are correct from one perspective or another.

The problem here is that people who understand why everything is astral can understand why others only see the physical versus the astral. And those last people can't understand why everything is astral from a more expanded point of view (generalizing, of course).

Learning for yourself is the only way to increase your perception and understanding of everything, thus expanding your 'boundaries' of the real and the unreal, and your own private density as a final consequence. All I have been doing personally is learning.

The only added problem to discuss all of the above is the undeniable presence of creatures that are not visible to all of us from the "physical" but are there. For example elementals, shadow people, crypto animals. That, in my opinion, is simply beyond the range of our perception, since we can't see everything there is.



Again, I think it's hard to pinpoint where they are. Because they are not there in perception, when you walk through a forest, however, they can throw stones at you. So they are physical.

And if it helps (or makes things even more confusing), a ship can see them using gravity imaging and render an image so you can see them. A ship's sensors can detect elementals, and even do CGI for you. And they look a lot like how they have been portrayed for eons. You can see the leaves and twigs under their feet bend under their weight as they creep cautiously thinking they can't be seen. And while the ship is detecting them with gravity anomaly sensors, therefore, you can see that they have mass.

I'm not entirely happy with this image here (shown image), but there isn't much to choose from. They are there in the 'physical' but outside of your body's ability and perceptual agreements to see them. But they can throw a stone at you, hurt you.

Yázhi can see them with the naked eye, I can't. Although he says that they are not clear, they are like shadows with some shapes and features in them. Gravity sensors give you much more detail.